

Clarifying share-based payment accounting

Proposed narrow-scope amendments to IFRS 2

November 2014, Issue 2014/22

IN THE HEADLINES

kpmg.com/ifrs

“The proposals would improve consistency and resolve some long-standing ambiguities in share-based payment accounting.”

– Kim Bromfield
KPMG’s global IFRS employee benefits leader

Proposals apply to three types of arrangements

Currently, there is ambiguity in IFRS 2 *Share-based Payment* as to how a company should account for certain types of arrangements. In response to this issue, on 25 November 2014 the IASB published an exposure draft *Classification and measurement of share-based payment transactions* (the ED). If adopted, the proposals in the ED could affect the classification and/or measurement of these arrangements – and potentially the timing and amount of expense recognition for new and outstanding awards. The three types of arrangements that would be affected are as follows.

1 Cash-settled share-based payment transactions that include performance conditions

There is currently no guidance in IFRS 2 on how to measure the fair value of cash-settled share-based payments with vesting or non-vesting conditions. As a result, diversity in practice exists between measuring the liability using the same approach as for equity-settled awards and using full fair value. Under the proposals, a cash-settled share-based payment would be measured using the same approach as for equity-settled share-based payments – i.e. the modified grant date method. Therefore, in measuring the liability:

- market and non-vesting conditions would be taken into account in measuring its fair value; and

- the number of rights to receive cash would be adjusted to reflect the best estimate of those expected to vest as a result of satisfying service and any non-market performance conditions.

This proposed amendment would not change the cumulative amount of expense that is ultimately recognised, because the total consideration for a cash-settled share-based payment is equal to the cash paid on settlement.

2 Share-based payments settled net of tax withholdings

In some countries, the company may be obligated to collect or withhold tax related to a share-based payment, even though the tax obligation is often a liability of the employee and not the company. Some share-based payment arrangements permit or require the company to withhold a portion of the shares that would otherwise be issued to the employee, and to pay the tax authorities on the employee’s behalf. A question exists as to whether the portion of the share-based payment that is withheld in these instances should be accounted for as equity-settled or cash-settled.

The ED clarifies that for classification purposes, a share-based payment transaction with employees is accounted for as equity-settled if:

- the terms of the arrangement permit or require a company to settle the transaction net by withholding a specified portion of the equity instruments to meet the statutory tax withholding requirement (the net settlement feature); and
- the entire share-based payment transaction would otherwise be classified as equity-settled if there were no net settlement feature.

3 Modification to a share-based payment transaction that changes the classification from cash-settled to equity-settled

There is no specific guidance in IFRS 2 for cash-settled share-based payments that are modified; as a result, there is diversity

in practice in accounting for such transactions. The ED proposes the following approach to accounting for a modification to a cash-settled share-based payment that results in its classification changing to equity-settled:

- at the modification date, the liability for the original cash-settled share-based payment would be derecognised, and the equity-settled share-based payment would be measured at its fair value as at the modification date and recognised to the extent that the services have been rendered up to that date; and
- the difference between the carrying amount of the liability as at the modification date, and the amount recognised in equity as at that date, would be recognised in profit or loss immediately.

Effective date and transition

The proposed amendments would apply prospectively from the effective date, which has not yet been proposed. Retrospective application would be permitted, if companies have the required information. Early application would be permitted.

Next steps

Companies should consider whether the proposals could result in a change to their accounting for share-based payment transactions. Comments are due to the IASB by 25 March 2015.

For more information on the proposals, please speak to your usual KPMG contact or go to the [IASB press release](#).

© 2014 KPMG IFRG Limited, a UK company, limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name, logo and “cutting through complexity” are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

Publication name: *In the Headlines – Clarifying share-based payment accounting*

Publication number: Issue 2014/22

Publication date: November 2014

KPMG International Standards Group is part of KPMG IFRG Limited.

KPMG International Cooperative (“KPMG International”) is a Swiss entity that serves as a coordinating entity for a network of independent firms operating under the KPMG name. KPMG International provides no audit or other client services. Such services are provided solely by member firms of KPMG International (including sublicensees and subsidiaries) in their respective geographic areas. KPMG International and its member firms are legally distinct and separate entities. They are not and nothing contained herein shall be construed to place these entities in the relationship of parents, subsidiaries, agents, partners, or joint venturers. No member firm has any authority (actual, apparent, implied or otherwise) to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm, in any manner whatsoever.

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.